

A Comparative Analysis of Gender Dynamics in Jane Austen and Manju Kapur's Novels: Unveiling Societal Constructs

Dr. Bonam Anjaneyulu

Associate professor of English
Narsimha Reddy engineering college
Email: bonam.anji@gmail.com



Received 01 September 2026

Accepted 05 September 2026

Published 08 September 2026

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Bonam Anjaneyulu

Email: bonam.anji@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64880/pcjaict.v1i5.04>

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s).



This is an open access article under the [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

OPEN ACCESS

Abstract:

*Gender constitutes one of the most significant social and cultural categories through which human societies organize individual identities, relationships, responsibilities, privileges, and limitations. Although biological sex may provide the initial distinction between male and female, the meanings attached to femininity and masculinity are largely shaped by social, cultural, economic, and ideological structures. Literature, therefore, provides an important medium through which these structures can be examined, questioned, and reinterpreted. Literary representations of women reveal not only the conditions in which women live but also the cultural assumptions that determine what constitutes acceptable femininity. Jane Austen and Manju Kapur, despite being separated by nearly two centuries, geographical distance, historical circumstances, and different literary traditions, demonstrate a remarkable engagement with the position of women within patriarchal societies. Austen's *Sense and Sensibility* (1811) and *Pride and Prejudice* (1813) portray women within the social and economic structures of nineteenth-century England, where marriage, inheritance, property, class, and family reputation substantially influence women's lives. Kapur's *Difficult Daughters* (1998) and *A Married Woman* (2002), written within the context of twentieth-century and contemporary India, explore educated women negotiating family expectations, marriage, sexuality, professional aspirations, political consciousness, and individual identity. This paper presents a comparative examination of gender dynamics in these selected novels, focusing particularly on marriage, family, education, economic dependence, female agency, sexuality, social respectability, and the conflict between individual aspirations and socially prescribed roles. The study argues that both Austen and Kapur expose patriarchy not merely as a system of direct male domination but as a complex network of social practices embedded in family, marriage, property, education, morality, and cultural expectations. Austen generally employs irony, satire, wit, characterization, and the marriage plot to expose the limitations placed upon women, whereas Kapur adopts a more direct psychological and social realism to explore female subjectivity, desire, frustration, and the search for autonomy.*

Elizabeth Bennet, Elinor Dashwood, Virmati, and Astha represent different manifestations of female agency, yet none of them exists completely outside the structures that constrain her. Their struggles consequently reveal that female freedom is historically conditioned and must be understood as a continuous process of negotiation rather than as a simple movement from oppression to liberation. The comparison ultimately demonstrates that gender is socially constructed and historically variable, while patriarchal expectations remain remarkably persistent even when their outward forms change.

Keywords: Gender, Jane Austen, Manju Kapur, patriarchy, feminism, female agency, marriage, education, identity, social constructs, women's writing, resistance.

1. Introduction

The representation of women in literature has always been closely connected with the social and cultural structures of the societies in which literary texts are produced. Women's identities are rarely formed in isolation; rather, they are shaped by family, marriage, education, economic circumstances, cultural traditions, religious beliefs, social expectations, and systems of authority. Consequently, the literary study of gender requires more than an examination of female characters as individuals. It requires an investigation into the structures that determine what women are expected to become and the consequences they experience when they conform to or resist those expectations. Gender, in this sense, is not simply a biological category but a social and cultural system through which particular behaviours are associated with femininity and masculinity. Literature becomes particularly significant because it can expose the artificiality of these supposedly natural roles by showing how they are produced, reinforced, challenged, and transformed within particular historical circumstances.

Jane Austen and Manju Kapur provide a particularly productive basis for a comparative study of gender because both writers place women's experiences at the centre of their narratives while examining the social institutions that influence their choices. Austen's novels emerged in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, when English women, particularly women of the landed and middle classes, possessed limited economic and social independence. Marriage was therefore not simply a private or romantic relationship; it could determine a woman's financial security, social status, domestic environment, and future prospects. Kapur writes from the context of modern and postcolonial India, where women have acquired substantially greater access to education, employment, public life, and legal rights. Yet the availability of these opportunities does not necessarily eliminate patriarchal expectations within family and marriage. Her novels demonstrate that women may possess education and professional identities while still experiencing pressures associated with domestic responsibility, sexual morality, family honour, and socially approved femininity.

A comparison between Austen and Kapur is therefore valuable precisely because they do not represent identical forms of female experience. The distance between them allows us to examine how gender structures change over time while retaining certain fundamental patterns. Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* and *Sense and Sensibility* reveal how women's lives are affected by inheritance, property,

class, marriage, and social reputation, while Kapur's *Difficult Daughters* and *A Married Woman* explore the relationship between education, marriage, professional aspirations, sexuality, motherhood, and individual identity. The comparison demonstrates that patriarchal structures do not remain completely unchanged; rather, they adapt to new social conditions. In Austen, economic dependence is particularly visible because unmarried women often have few alternatives to marriage. In Kapur, women possess greater educational and professional possibilities, but the problem of identity continues because social expectations frequently demand that professional and personal aspirations remain subordinate to family responsibilities.

The central concern of this research is therefore to investigate how Austen and Kapur represent gender as a social construct and how their female characters negotiate the expectations imposed upon them. The study focuses on Elizabeth Bennet and Elinor Dashwood in Austen's fiction and Virmati and Astha in Kapur's fiction. These characters belong to different generations and cultures, but all of them confront situations in which individual desires come into conflict with socially prescribed roles. Elizabeth challenges the expectation that a woman should accept marriage merely for financial security; Elinor struggles to reconcile emotional desire with social restraint; Virmati seeks education and autonomy in a society that defines respectable femininity largely through domesticity; and Astha attempts to preserve a sense of individual identity while fulfilling the roles of wife and mother. Their experiences demonstrate that female agency does not necessarily mean complete freedom from social structures. Instead, it often consists of the ability to negotiate those structures, make choices within them, question them, and occasionally resist them.

The paper consequently argues that Austen and Kapur should not be understood merely as writers concerned with romance, marriage, or family life. Their treatment of these subjects provides a deeper critique of the social organization of gender. Marriage in their fiction is connected with economics, family authority, sexuality, respectability, and social power. Education is connected with female consciousness and independence. Family relationships become sites in which cultural expectations are transmitted from one generation to another. Women's bodies and desires are subjected to different moral standards from those applied to men. Through these interconnected concerns, both writers reveal that what society describes as "proper" femininity is not a natural condition but the product of continuous social regulation.

2. Gender as a Social and Cultural Construct

The concept of gender as a social construct provides an important theoretical foundation for understanding the selected novels. While sex is generally associated with biological characteristics, gender refers to the social meanings, roles, behaviours, and expectations that cultures attach to those characteristics. A society teaches individuals what it means to be a "proper" woman or man, and these expectations are reinforced through family, education, religion, law, literature, and everyday social interaction. Women may be expected to be gentle, obedient, emotionally controlled, sexually respectable, domestic, caring, and family-oriented, while men may be expected to be authoritative, economically independent, rational, and socially dominant. Such expectations acquire the appearance of naturalness because they are repeatedly reproduced. Feminist literary criticism

challenges this assumption by asking how femininity is constructed and whose interests are served by maintaining particular gender roles.

Simone de Beauvoir's influential observation in *The Second Sex* that one is not simply born but becomes a woman is particularly relevant to Austen and Kapur because both writers demonstrate the process through which women are trained into socially acceptable forms of femininity. Similarly, Judith Butler's concept of gender performativity emphasizes that gender is continuously produced through repeated behaviours, actions, and social expectations. From this perspective, femininity is not a fixed essence possessed by women but a social identity continually performed and evaluated. Austen's women are expected to demonstrate particular manners, accomplishments, modesty, and social restraint, while Kapur's women are expected to combine education and modernity with conventional responsibilities as daughters, wives, and mothers. The specific expectations differ because the societies differ, but the mechanism remains recognizable: society establishes ideals of femininity and rewards conformity while criticizing or punishing deviation.

Austen's fiction makes this process visible through the emphasis placed upon women's accomplishments and social behaviour. A woman is expected to possess qualities that increase her attractiveness as a potential wife. Music, drawing, manners, conversation, and other accomplishments function not simply as forms of personal development but as markers of social acceptability. Elizabeth Bennet's individuality becomes significant because she refuses to perform femininity in precisely the manner expected by certain members of her society. Her wit, independence of thought, willingness to express disagreement, and refusal to accept an unsuitable marriage challenge the assumption that feminine virtue must necessarily involve passive obedience. At the same time, Austen does not create Elizabeth as a completely liberated modern woman. She remains within the social and economic structures of her period, and her eventual marriage demonstrates the limits as well as the possibilities of her resistance.

Kapur's women experience a different but related form of gender construction. Education and employment provide them with opportunities that were less available to Austen's heroines, but these opportunities exist alongside persistent expectations concerning marriage, motherhood, family loyalty, and sexual respectability. Virmati's desire for education in *Difficult Daughters* is therefore more than a desire to acquire knowledge. It is a challenge to a social system that expects a woman to understand her future primarily in relation to marriage and domestic responsibility. Astha's experiences in *A Married Woman* extend the issue further because she possesses education and professional involvement but nevertheless discovers that social definitions of wifehood and motherhood can limit her sense of individual identity. Kapur thus demonstrates that changing external circumstances do not necessarily eliminate the deeper cultural assumptions through which femininity is constructed.

3. Marriage, Property, and Female Dependence in Jane Austen

Marriage occupies a central position in Jane Austen's fiction because it is simultaneously an emotional, economic, social, and institutional relationship. A purely romantic interpretation of Austen's novels overlooks the economic structures that determine the possibilities available to her

female characters. In *Pride and Prejudice*, for instance, the Bennet daughters' future is affected by the entail of Longbourn, which prevents the property from passing directly through the female line. The daughters therefore face a future in which marriage may become necessary for economic security. Mrs. Bennet's determination to see her daughters married can appear comic and excessive, but beneath the comedy lies a genuine awareness of their vulnerability. Her behaviour is produced by a social system in which women possess limited control over property and therefore have fewer independent means of securing their futures.

Austen exposes this situation through irony. The famous opening sentence of *Pride and Prejudice*, which presents it as a universally acknowledged truth that a wealthy single man must be in want of a wife, initially appears to be a humorous observation about male marriage prospects. As the novel develops, however, the irony becomes clear: the social world of the novel is structured in such a way that women and their families are deeply concerned with the economic consequences of marriage. The seemingly simple marriage market therefore reveals a system of unequal economic power. Men with property possess greater freedom in choosing whether, when, and whom to marry, whereas women without independent wealth may have to consider marriage as a means of survival.

Elizabeth Bennet's refusal of Mr. Collins becomes particularly significant in this context. Collins offers her a respectable and economically secure marriage, and his proposal would theoretically protect her future. Elizabeth nevertheless rejects him because she does not respect him and cannot imagine happiness in such a relationship. Her refusal therefore constitutes an important assertion of female agency. She refuses to accept the assumption that economic security justifies the sacrifice of personal judgment. Her decision is not a rejection of marriage itself but a rejection of marriage as an institution that should automatically override individual choice. Austen's critique is therefore more sophisticated than a simple opposition between marriage and independence. She asks whether marriage can become a relationship based upon mutual respect rather than economic necessity and social pressure.

Charlotte Lucas provides a necessary contrast to Elizabeth. Charlotte accepts Mr. Collins because she understands the economic realities confronting women of her position. Her decision is often judged negatively when viewed solely from Elizabeth's perspective, but Austen's treatment of Charlotte invites a more sympathetic interpretation. Charlotte is not simply choosing a ridiculous husband; she is making a rational decision within a social system that gives unmarried women limited alternatives. Her choice demonstrates that women do not possess equal degrees of freedom even when they live within the same patriarchal society. Elizabeth's ability to refuse Collins is partly a product of her particular circumstances and personality, whereas Charlotte's decision is shaped by age, economic insecurity, and social expectations. Through these two women, Austen demonstrates that female agency is influenced by material conditions.

This issue becomes even more pronounced in *Sense and Sensibility*. Following their father's death, the Dashwood women are displaced from Norland because the inheritance passes according to a system that favours the male heir. Their economic insecurity is therefore directly connected to gender and property. Elinor, Marianne, Margaret, and their mother possess limited control over material

resources, and their social position is affected by decisions made by men. The novel consequently reveals that women's emotional and romantic experiences cannot be separated from economic realities. The question of whom a woman can love is partly shaped by the question of whether she can survive independently.

Elinor Dashwood responds to these circumstances through restraint and rationality, while Marianne expresses her emotions openly. Their contrast allows Austen to explore different models of femininity. Elinor's emotional control protects her from social embarrassment but also forces her to experience considerable private suffering. Marianne's openness allows her to express desire more freely but makes her vulnerable to disappointment and social judgment. Austen ultimately advocates a balance between sense and sensibility, but the gendered implications of this balance remain significant because women are expected to regulate their emotions in ways that men are not always required to do. The novel therefore demonstrates that even apparently personal qualities such as emotional restraint are shaped by gender expectations.

4. Female Agency and Resistance in Austen's Fiction

Austen's female characters demonstrate that resistance to patriarchy does not necessarily require dramatic rebellion. In fact, Austen's most effective criticism frequently occurs through ordinary acts of refusal, judgment, conversation, and choice. Elizabeth Bennet's greatest form of resistance is her insistence upon the right to judge for herself. She refuses Mr. Collins, challenges Darcy's assumptions, questions Wickham's story when evidence changes, and finally confronts Lady Catherine despite the enormous difference in their social status. Her wit becomes an instrument through which she refuses to become completely submissive to the expectations of others. Her intellectual independence is therefore central to her characterization.

Nevertheless, Elizabeth's agency remains historically limited. She does not reject marriage, property, family, or social relationships. Her eventual marriage to Darcy occurs within the very institution that has constrained many of Austen's women. The difference is that Elizabeth enters this marriage on terms that preserve her sense of individual worth. Darcy must also undergo transformation. The ideal relationship is therefore represented not as male domination but as mutual recognition. Austen's feminist significance lies partly in imagining a marriage in which the woman's intelligence and judgment are respected.

Elinor's agency is less openly rebellious but equally significant. She possesses emotional intelligence and moral independence and refuses to allow her private suffering to become an excuse for harming others. Her restraint, however, should not be interpreted simply as passive obedience. She actively interprets situations, makes judgments, and protects those around her. Austen therefore presents different forms of female strength. Elizabeth represents outspoken independence, while Elinor represents internal discipline and emotional intelligence. Both demonstrate that women can exercise authority over their own judgments even when they cannot control the larger social structures surrounding them.

5. Manju Kapur and the Changing Context of Female Identity

Manju Kapur writes within a social context substantially different from Austen's. Indian women of the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries have greater access to education, employment, political participation, and public life. Yet the expansion of opportunities does not automatically eliminate traditional gender expectations. Kapur's fiction is particularly concerned with this contradiction. Her female characters are educated and ambitious, but they remain connected to families and communities in which marriage, motherhood, respectability, and social conformity continue to carry considerable importance.

Difficult Daughters is situated against the social and political background of India in the 1940s and presents Virmati's struggle to develop an identity beyond the conventional domestic role expected of women. Her desire for education becomes an important expression of her aspiration for independence. Education gives her access to knowledge and a wider social world, allowing her to imagine possibilities beyond marriage and household responsibilities. However, Kapur does not romanticize education as an automatic route to liberation. Virmati's intellectual awakening does not free her from emotional vulnerability or patriarchal structures. Instead, it places her in a more complicated position because she becomes increasingly aware of the restrictions surrounding her. Virmati's struggle is therefore simultaneously personal and social. She wants to determine the direction of her own life, yet she remains connected to a family and culture that have their own expectations concerning female behaviour. Her relationship with the Professor further complicates her search for autonomy because the attempt to escape one form of social control can lead to dependence upon another individual. Kapur consequently avoids presenting female agency as a simple process in which a woman recognizes oppression and immediately becomes free. Virmati possesses agency, but that agency is incomplete, contradictory, and shaped by the structures she attempts to resist.

6. Education and Female Empowerment in *Difficult Daughters*

Education is one of the most important dimensions through which Kapur distinguishes her female characters from the traditional domestic ideal. For Virmati, education represents intellectual possibility and personal freedom. Her desire to continue her studies challenges the assumption that women's education should have a limited purpose. Within a conventional patriarchal framework, a woman's education may be valued because it makes her a more accomplished or desirable wife. Virmati, however, seeks education for herself. She wants knowledge to expand the boundaries of her existence rather than merely to improve her marriage prospects.

This distinction reveals an important transformation between Austen and Kapur. Austen's women are often educated in accomplishments that enhance their social desirability, whereas Kapur's women seek education as a foundation for individuality and professional identity. Yet Kapur also demonstrates that education alone cannot dismantle patriarchy. Virmati's education increases her awareness but does not provide complete control over her emotional and social circumstances. She continues to confront family expectations, moral judgments, and unequal relationships. Her experience suggests that education is a necessary component of empowerment but cannot by itself transform the structures that regulate women's lives.

The novel therefore presents education as both liberation and conflict. Once a woman becomes intellectually aware of alternatives, the restrictions of traditional expectations may become more difficult to accept. Education produces consciousness, and consciousness can produce dissatisfaction with existing structures. Virmati's tragedy is partly the result of this contradiction: she becomes sufficiently aware to desire another life but remains situated within a society that limits the possibilities of realizing that desire.

7. A Married Woman and the Question of Female Selfhood

If *Difficult Daughters* examines a young woman's struggle to achieve educational and personal independence, *A Married Woman* explores the more complicated question of identity after marriage. Astha is an educated woman who occupies several social roles simultaneously. She is a daughter, wife, mother, teacher, artist, and individual with intellectual and emotional desires. Her difficulty arises from the expectation that these identities should always remain compatible and that the role of wife and mother should take precedence whenever conflict occurs.

Kapur's portrayal of Astha reveals that social progress does not necessarily eliminate patriarchal expectations. A woman may receive an education and enter professional life while still being expected to perform the majority of emotional and domestic responsibilities associated with family. The problem is therefore not simply whether women are permitted to work but whether their work and individuality are granted the same legitimacy as those of men. Astha's experience exposes the unequal distribution of social expectations. She is expected to maintain her family while simultaneously developing herself, and any attempt to prioritize her individual needs can be interpreted as selfishness or irresponsibility.

Astha's artistic and emotional experiences provide her with a space in which she can explore an identity beyond conventional domestic roles. Her development does not involve a complete rejection of family or society. Rather, it involves the recognition that personal identity cannot be reduced to socially assigned roles. In this respect, Astha represents an important stage in the evolution of female consciousness. Elizabeth Bennet asks whether she can choose a husband according to her own judgment; Astha increasingly asks whether marriage should possess the authority to define the whole of her identity.

8. Sexuality, Desire, and Social Respectability

Sexuality provides another important distinction between Austen and Kapur. Austen generally approaches female sexuality through the conventions of courtship, marriage, propriety, and social manners. Sexual desire is present within her narratives but is strongly mediated by the moral codes of her society. Kapur, writing in a later period, is more direct in presenting female desire as an important dimension of identity. This difference reflects not only changes in literary conventions but also changes in the cultural vocabulary available for discussing women's experiences.

In *Difficult Daughters*, Virmati's relationship with the Professor exposes the double standards surrounding female sexuality. Her choices are subject to moral scrutiny in ways that reveal the unequal expectations placed upon women. A woman who crosses established boundaries of sexual respectability may face condemnation that is not equally applied to men. Virmati's experience

consequently demonstrates that control over women's sexuality is an important mechanism through which patriarchal society regulates female autonomy.

A Married Woman extends this discussion by presenting a married woman's emotional and sexual identity as something that cannot simply disappear after marriage. Astha's experiences challenge the assumption that marriage completely determines the boundaries of female desire. Kapur's treatment of sexuality is therefore connected to a broader argument about selfhood: if women possess individual identities, then their emotional and bodily experiences cannot be entirely controlled by social expectations.

This does not mean that Kapur presents desire as automatically liberating. Instead, she shows its complexity. Desire may provide a woman with a sense of identity and emotional recognition, but it can also produce conflict, guilt, social judgment, and uncertainty. The significance of Kapur's representation lies precisely in acknowledging these contradictions.

9. Family, Honour, and the Reproduction of Patriarchy

One of the strongest similarities between Austen and Kapur is their representation of the family as an institution through which gender expectations are reproduced. Patriarchy does not operate exclusively through individual men exercising direct control over women. It is also transmitted through family practices, cultural traditions, social advice, moral expectations, and ideas of honour. Parents may believe that they are protecting daughters when they insist upon particular forms of behaviour, marriage, dress, sexuality, or education. In this manner, social control can be presented as affection or responsibility.

Austen's Mrs. Bennet provides an important example. Her obsession with marrying her daughters may appear ridiculous, but it reflects a social system in which she believes marriage is essential for their security. Her behaviour demonstrates how women themselves can reproduce patriarchal expectations because they have learned to understand social survival through those expectations. Similarly, Kapur's female characters exist within family structures in which older generations may enforce traditions upon younger women. The transmission of gender ideology therefore occurs across generations.

This aspect is important because it prevents patriarchy from being reduced to a simple conflict between men and women. Patriarchy is a social system, and women can participate in maintaining it even while experiencing its limitations. The process is often unconscious. Individuals reproduce expectations because those expectations have become part of their understanding of respectability and normality. Austen and Kapur expose this mechanism by presenting families in which women's choices are evaluated according to collective interests rather than purely individual desires.

10. Tradition, Modernity, and the Transformation of Female Agency

The conflict between tradition and modernity provides an important framework for comparing Austen and Kapur. Austen's Elizabeth Bennet represents a form of individualism that challenges traditional expectations while remaining within the institution of marriage. She does not seek to abandon society but insists that society recognize her capacity for judgment. Her resistance is therefore reformative rather than revolutionary.

Virmati's struggle is more disruptive because she attempts to expand the boundaries of women's education, professional possibilities, and personal relationships. Her actions challenge traditional expectations more directly. Yet she remains emotionally and culturally connected to the world she questions. This tension between attachment and resistance is one of the defining features of her character.

Astha represents an even later stage in this process. She has access to education and professional opportunities, but her struggle concerns the right to maintain individuality within marriage and family life. Her problem is not simply gaining access to the public sphere; it is achieving recognition of her identity within both the public and private spheres.

The comparison therefore reveals a gradual transformation in the nature of the feminist question. Austen's women struggle primarily for the freedom to make meaningful choices within restrictive social institutions. Kapur's women increasingly seek to redefine those institutions and to establish identities that cannot be completely contained by them. Nevertheless, the movement should not be understood as a simple progression from oppression to freedom. Modern women continue to experience patriarchal expectations, although those expectations may take subtler forms.

11. A Comparative Reading of Female Agency

The concept of female agency provides one of the most productive points of comparison between the two writers. Elizabeth Bennet, Elinor Dashwood, Virmati, and Astha all possess agency, but they exercise it differently because their social contexts differ. Elizabeth exercises agency primarily through speech, judgment, refusal, and choice. Her rejection of Mr. Collins is an economically risky decision that establishes her unwillingness to allow financial considerations to completely determine her future. Her confrontation with Lady Catherine further demonstrates her refusal to recognize social rank as an absolute source of authority. Her eventual relationship with Darcy becomes meaningful because it involves mutual transformation and respect.

Elinor's agency is quieter. She does not challenge social structures through dramatic acts of rebellion, but she exercises significant control over her emotional responses and moral decisions. Her strength lies in her ability to understand social circumstances without allowing them to completely destroy her sense of self. Austen therefore demonstrates that agency can take both overt and subtle forms. A woman can resist through refusal, but she can also resist through maintaining intellectual and moral independence within restrictive circumstances.

Virmati's agency is more conflicted. She seeks education and attempts to determine her own future, but her relationship with the Professor demonstrates that resistance can coexist with vulnerability. Her experience reveals that escaping one form of dependence does not necessarily result in complete autonomy. Astha's agency similarly develops through a process of increasing self-awareness. She gradually recognizes that being a wife and mother does not exhaust the possibilities of her identity. Her attempt to claim emotional and intellectual space demonstrates the continuing importance of individual agency even within modern family structures.

The four characters therefore demonstrate that female agency should not be measured only by whether a woman completely escapes patriarchy. A more useful question is how much authority she

possesses over her own choices and how effectively she can negotiate the structures surrounding her. From this perspective, Austen and Kapur present agency as relational and historically situated.

12. Similarities and Differences in the Two Writers

Austen and Kapur share a fundamental interest in the relationship between women and social institutions, but they approach the issue through different literary techniques and historical circumstances. Austen's method is frequently indirect. She uses irony, comedy, dialogue, social observation, and the marriage plot to expose the contradictions of patriarchal society. Her criticism becomes powerful precisely because it is embedded within apparently ordinary stories of courtship and family life. The reader gradually recognizes that the apparently trivial concerns of marriage and manners are connected with much larger structures of economic and social power.

Kapur's approach is generally more psychologically direct. Her novels enter the internal conflicts of women who experience tension between personal desires and social expectations. Education, sexuality, professional identity, motherhood, and emotional fulfilment are treated as significant aspects of female subjectivity. Kapur therefore extends the feminist concerns visible in Austen into areas that became more openly discussable in later women's writing.

Despite these differences, both writers reject the idea that women are simply passive recipients of social expectations. Their female characters think, judge, desire, question, refuse, negotiate, and make choices. At the same time, both writers understand that individual agency has limits when economic and cultural structures remain powerful. This balance between agency and constraint prevents their fiction from becoming simplistic.

13. Major Findings of the Comparative Study

The comparative analysis establishes several interconnected findings. First, gender in both Austen and Kapur is revealed as a social construct rather than a fixed natural identity. The women in their novels are continually taught and evaluated according to culturally specific expectations concerning femininity. Second, marriage functions as one of the principal institutions through which gender relations are organized. In Austen, marriage is closely connected with property, inheritance, class, and financial security, whereas in Kapur it is increasingly connected with emotional identity, domestic labour, sexuality, motherhood, and individual fulfilment.

Third, economic independence is fundamental to female agency. Austen's women demonstrate how the absence of property and inheritance rights restricts their choices, while Kapur's women demonstrate that education and employment can expand women's possibilities without automatically removing patriarchal expectations. Fourth, education becomes increasingly important in the historical development of female identity. Whereas women's accomplishments in Austen's society often function as markers of marriageability, Kapur's women seek education as a means of intellectual and professional independence.

Fifth, the family functions as both a source of emotional support and an instrument of social control. Family members reproduce cultural expectations concerning appropriate female behaviour, often presenting these expectations as protection, duty, or love. Sixth, female sexuality is regulated

through double standards. Kapur's novels particularly reveal the consequences faced by women when their desires conflict with conventional moral expectations.

Finally, the study demonstrates that resistance does not always produce complete liberation. Elizabeth's independence remains connected with marriage; Virmati's educational aspirations do not eliminate her vulnerability; and Astha's search for identity continues within the structures of family and society. Female agency should therefore be understood as an ongoing process of negotiation.

14. Conclusion

The comparative analysis of Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* and *Sense and Sensibility* and Manju Kapur's *Difficult Daughters* and *A Married Woman* demonstrates that gender is deeply embedded within the social, economic, cultural, and familial structures of human society. Although Austen and Kapur belong to different historical periods and cultural environments, their novels reveal striking continuities in the ways women's lives are shaped by expectations concerning marriage, family, respectability, education, sexuality, and economic dependence.

Austen's novels expose the economic foundation of women's dependence with remarkable subtlety. Her women inhabit a society in which marriage can determine economic survival and social status. Elizabeth Bennet's refusal of Mr. Collins is therefore more than a romantic decision; it is an assertion of the right to exercise individual judgment in a society that encourages women to prioritize economic security. Charlotte Lucas provides the necessary counterpoint by demonstrating that not every woman possesses the same freedom to resist. Through their contrasting choices, Austen reveals the relationship between gender and material circumstances.

Sense and Sensibility develops the same concern through the Dashwood family's economic vulnerability. Elinor and Marianne experience different forms of emotional and social restriction, but both are affected by their limited access to property and economic power. Austen's representation of emotion likewise demonstrates that femininity is socially regulated. Women are expected to control themselves, maintain propriety, and protect social relationships even when doing so causes personal suffering.

Kapur's fiction demonstrates how these gender issues transform within modern Indian society. Virmati's pursuit of education in *Difficult Daughters* reflects a new understanding of female independence in which education becomes a pathway toward individual identity. Yet her story also demonstrates that education does not automatically liberate women. Social expectations concerning family, morality, marriage, and sexuality continue to influence her choices. Her struggle reveals the contradiction between intellectual awareness and social power.

Astha's experiences in *A Married Woman* extend the discussion into the realm of married women's identity. Her education and professional involvement do not prevent her from experiencing the pressures of wifehood and motherhood. Her search for individual identity demonstrates that the modern feminist question is not merely whether women can enter education or employment but whether they can retain a sense of self while occupying socially prescribed roles.

The comparison consequently reveals that patriarchy changes its outward appearance while retaining certain underlying structures. In Austen, patriarchal power is particularly visible through

property, inheritance, marriage, and class. In Kapur, it operates increasingly through family expectations, domestic responsibilities, sexuality, emotional obligations, and social respectability. The structures are historically different, but both writers demonstrate how women's choices are conditioned by institutions that often appear natural or inevitable.

The four central female characters—Elizabeth Bennet, Elinor Dashwood, Virmati, and Astha—represent different forms of resistance and negotiation. Elizabeth exercises independence through judgment and refusal; Elinor through emotional and moral strength; Virmati through education and the pursuit of personal autonomy; and Astha through the gradual construction of an identity beyond conventional domestic expectations. None of these women is completely free from patriarchal structures, but none is simply passive either. Their significance lies in the space between conformity and rebellion.

The study therefore concludes that female agency should not be understood as complete escape from society. It is more accurately understood as the ability to question, negotiate, resist, and reshape the expectations through which gender is constructed. Austen and Kapur demonstrate that women may challenge patriarchal structures even while remaining connected to family, marriage, culture, and tradition. Their novels consequently resist simplistic distinctions between oppression and freedom. The historical distance between Austen and Kapur makes their comparison especially illuminating. Austen's women primarily struggle for the right to choose within institutions that restrict them, while Kapur's women increasingly struggle for the right to define themselves beyond those institutions. Elizabeth Bennet's question is largely whether she can choose a suitable partner without surrendering her dignity; Virmati's question is whether she can pursue education and an identity beyond domesticity; Astha's question is whether marriage and motherhood should determine the entire meaning of her existence. These changing questions demonstrate the evolution of female consciousness across historical periods.

Ultimately, the novels of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur reveal that gender is not simply something individuals possess; it is something societies continually construct, regulate, reproduce, and sometimes transform. Their female characters expose the contradictions within supposedly natural gender roles and demonstrate the difficulties involved in creating an autonomous identity. Austen's irony and Kapur's psychological realism thus become different literary strategies for confronting a shared social problem: the struggle between individual identity and culturally prescribed femininity. The enduring relevance of these writers lies precisely in this tension. Even when women acquire education, professional opportunities, legal rights, and greater public visibility, questions of family responsibility, marriage, sexuality, respectability, and identity continue to influence their lives. Austen and Kapur therefore remain important not simply because they write about women but because they reveal the structures through which society defines what a woman is expected to be. Their novels invite readers to recognize that gender roles are neither inevitable nor permanent. They are historical constructions, and because they are constructed, they can also be questioned, negotiated, and transformed.

Works Cited

Austen, Jane. *Pride and Prejudice*. Edited by Claudia L. Johnson, W. W. Norton, 2001.

---. *Sense and Sensibility*. Edited by Ros Ballaster, Penguin Classics, 1995.

Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage Books, 2011.

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.

Kapur, Manju. *A Married Woman*. India Ink, 2002.

---. *Difficult Daughters*. Faber and Faber, 1998.

Wollstonecraft, Mary. *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman*. Edited by Deidre Shauna Lynch, W. W. Norton, 2009.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, findings, and opinions expressed in this publication are solely those of the author(s). The publisher and editorial team are not responsible for any errors, claims, or consequences arising from the use of the published content.